

Blaine G. Gibson, *President* Yakima County Superior Court 128 N 2<sup>nd</sup> St, Rm 314 Yakima, WA 98901-2639 509-574-2710

Kitty-Ann van Doorninck President Elect Pierce County Superior Court 930 Tacoma Ave S, Rm 334 Tacoma, WA 98402-2108 425-388-3075

Sean O'Donnell Immediate Past President King County Superior Court 516 3rd Ave, Rm C-203 Seattle, WA 98104-2361 206-477-1501

Joseph P. Wilson, Secretary Snohomish County Superior Court 3000 Rackefeller Ave, MS 502 Everett, WA 98201-4046 425-388-3792

Bryan E. Chushcoff, Treasurer Pierce County Superior Court 930 Tacoma Ave S, Rm 334 Tacoma, WA 98402-2108 253-798-7574

## **Board of Trustees**

Veronica Alicea-Galván King County Superior Court 516 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave, Rm C-203 Seattle, WA 98104-2361 206-477-1453

Doug L. Federspiel Yakima County Superior Court 128 N 2nd St, Rm 314 Yakima, WA 98901-2639 509-574-2710

Jennifer A. Forbes Kitsap County Superior Court 614 Division St, MS 24 Port Orchard, WA 98366-4683 360-337-7140

Raquel Montoya-Lewis Whatcom County Superior Court 311 Grand Ave, Ste 301 Bellingham, WA 98225-4048 360-778-5634

Joely A. O'Rourke Lewis County Superior Court 345 W Main St, FI 4 Chehalis, WA 98532-0336 360-740-1333

Michael P. Price Spokane County Superior Court 1116 W Broadway Ave Spokane, WA 99260-0350 509-477-4766

Judith H. Ramseyer King County Superior Court 516 3<sup>rd</sup> Ave, Rm C-203 Seattle, WA 98104-2361 206-477-1605

## Superior Court Judges' Association

April 15, 2019

**TO:** Supreme Court Clerk

**FROM:** Judge Roger Rogoff, Chair SCJA Criminal Law and Rules Committee

**RE:** Proposed Rule Changes CrR 3.7, CrR 3.8, CrR 3.9, CrR 4.7, & CrR 4.11

On behalf of the Superior Court Judges' Association, I urge caution in reviewing the proposed changes to Criminal Rules 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 4.7, and 4.11. These proposed rules seek to address the prosecutor's production of, and the judicial admission of, certain types of evidence in criminal trials. While the goals of these proposals may be laudable, they have been presented directly to you without the typical collaboration of other judicial and executive stakeholders. This sets an unnecessary precedent moving forward.

These rules would alter the exercise of judicial discretion on admission of evidence in criminal cases. Given this narrowing of discretion, they are likely to have unintended consequences regarding the admissibility of proposed evidence.

Because of this lack of multi-disciplinary collaboration, many of the rules include undefined, vague terms that are likely to lead to extensive litigation. It has also led to awkwardness in drafting and uncertainty in application.

The superior court judges, who would be required to enforce these rules, urge the Supreme Court not to pass them without further work and collaboration. They unnecessarily take away judicial discretion related to the most important function judges have—evidentiary gatekeepers—by creating mandatory bright line rules. These rules seek to solve problems that individual judges, if given thoughtfully guided discretion, are capable of solving every day through sound, reasonable evidentiary rulings.

Thank you for your consideration.

cc: SCJA Board of Trustees Ms. Crissy Anderson Ms. Shannon Hinchcliffe

## Tracy, Mary

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Attachments: Hinchcliffe, Shannon Friday, April 26, 2019 8:41 AM Tracy, Mary Hahn, Sondra SCJA letter re: WACDL rules CrR- SC ltr 4.15.19.pdf

Mary,

Do you mind processing the attached comment? Thanks.